

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,063	9/811,063 03/16/2001		Joe A. Harrison	INTL-0519-US (P10729)	7275
21906	7590	04/23/2003	•		
TROP PRI		•	EXAMINER		
8554 KATY SUITE 100			TRAN, THANH Y		
HOUSTON, TX 77024				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2841	
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Application No. Og/811,063 Examiner Thanh Y. Tran 2841 Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply sepecified above, itses than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			\searrow							
Examiner Thanh Y. Tran 2841 Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Thanh Y. Tran The William And Thanh Help Self Pall The Self Thanh Y. Tranh Thanh Y. Tranh Thanh Help Self Thanh Help Self Thanh Thanh Help Self Thanh Help Self Thanh Thanh Y. Tranh Thanh Help Self Thanh Thanh Hele	,	09/811,063	JOE A. HARRISO	N						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov rsh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$X\$ (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If IN Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$IX\$ (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.				,						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.										
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rel. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ply within the statutory minimum o d will apply and will expire SIX (6) i te, cause the application to becom	by a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this come BET THE TOWN THE TOW							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-30 is/are rejected.										
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-30</u> is/are rejected. 	· <u>_</u>									
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-30</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-30</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	awn from consideration.								
_	·									
9) Claim(c) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement		for election requirement								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers		or election requirement.								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to I	by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.								
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	i rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received i	n Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	application from the International B	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	1)).	Stage						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		·		application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	a)	rovisional application ha	s been received.							
Attachment(s)	•	suc priority under 33 U.S	5.0. 33 120 aliu/01 121.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PT							

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer et al (U.S. 5,440,755) in view of Woychik (U.S. 5,629,839).

As to claim 1, Harwer et al discloses a circuit board (Fig. 2b) comprising a substrate; and electrical contacts (44, 42) to mate with a slot connector (28, 30, Fig. 2d), the contacts (44, 42) comprising a first set (44) of at least three uniformly spaced contacts and a second set (42) of at least three uniformly spaced contacts to communicate signals and not to communicate power (see col. 4, lines 15-20); adjacent contacts of the first set (44) being separated by a first distance (see Figs. 2a-2c) and adjacent contacts of the second set (42) being separated by a second distance different from the first distance.

Harwer et al. does not teach a first set of at least three uniformly spaced contacts to communicate power. Woychik teaches a substrate (Fig. 8) comprising a first set (152) of contacts to communicate power (see col. 8, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the

Art Unit: 2841

contacts communicated to the power line, as taught by Woychik, in the prior art of Harwer for providing the voltage to the circuitry of the substrate.

As to claim 2, figures 2a-2b of Harwer show that the first distance is approximately half of the second distance.

As to claim 3, figure 1 and 2d of Harwer show that the substrate (40) comprises an edge to be inserted into a slot connector housing (28, 30), and the first and second set of contacts (44, 42) are formed on the edge.

As to claim 4, Harwer is silent teaching the substrate wherein the first distance is approximately 0.05 inches and the second distance establishes a pitch of approximately 0.10 inches. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the circuit board of Harwer to include a specific first distance of approximately 0.05 inches, and a second distance of approximately 0.10 inches for the purpose of intended use, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

As to claim 5, the same reasoning applies to claim 5 as discussed in the rejection claim 1 regarding the power line (power regulation circuitry) which regulates voltage provided by the first set of contacts.

3. Claims 6-7, 9-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer et al (U.S. 5,440,755) in view of Ma (U.S. 6,210,195).

Art Unit: 2841

As to claims 6-7, Harwer a circuit board (Fig. 1) comprises circuitry; and a substrate (40) supporting the circuitry and having a contact edge to be inserted into a slot connector housing assembly (28, 30).

Harwer does not teach the substrate having an edge profile engaged by the connector housing assembly to resist removal of the circuit board from the connector housing assembly. However, Ma (U.S. 6,210,195) teaches a circuit board (2) having an edge profile/hook (comprising elements 22, 220) engaged by the connector housing assembly (1) to resist removal of the circuit board (2) from the connector housing assembly (1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the substrate of Harwer by including an edge profile/hook as taught by Ma for securing the substrate/board within the connector housing assembly.

As to claim 10, figure 1 of Harwer et al shows that the straight edge extends in an orthogonal direction to the contact.

Claims 11-12, and 14 recite method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 6-7 and 10.

4. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883) in view of Pope et al (U.S. 6,135,781).

As to claim 15, Dell et al. discloses a connector (Fig. 8, element 52) comprising: a housing (54) including a slot (56) to receive a circuit board (10), the housing (54); and electrical contacts secured to the housing (54) to establish electrical communication with electrical contact pads (18, 20) of the circuit board (10) (see Fig. 8, col. 6, lines 1-25).

Art Unit: 2841

Dell et al. is silent teaching the housing being formed from a_material having a thermal conductivity of at least approximately 0.27 W/m-K. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a housing which is formed from a material (liquid crystal polymer such as Zenite) having a thermal conductivity of at least approximately 0.27 W/m-K in the prior art of Dell et al.. Pope et al. teaches a housing (connector) comprising a material of Zenite (see col. 57, lines 1-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art of Dell et al. by using a material of Zenite (which is inherently having *approximately* 0.27 W/m-K) for making the connector housing as taught by Pope et al.. Because such modification would prevent the disadvantage of high thermal conductivity which may damage to the circuitry of circuit board.

As to claim 16, Dell et al. discloses the material of connector comprises a liquid crystal polymer (plastic) (see col. 6, lines 1-15).

5. Claims 18-19, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883) in view of Pope et al (U.S. 6,135,781) as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Ma (U.S. 6,210,195).

Claim 25 recites limitations similar to claim 15. Dell et al teaches a slot connector (Fig. 8, element 52) comprising a retention mechanism (58) to engage the circuit board (10) to secure the circuit board (10) to the slot connector (56). Dell et al and Pope et al do not teach a substrate comprising a retention mechanism to engage an edge profile of circuit board. However, Ma

Art Unit: 2841

teaches a circuit board (2) comprising a profile (22, 220), the same reasoning applies to claim 25 regarding the limitation of a circuit board comprising a profile as discussed above in claim 6.

As to claim 26, figure 8 shows the retention mechanism (58) is located entirely inside the slot (56).

As to claim 27, figure 8 shows the retention mechanism (58) comprises a spring (see col. 6, lines 1-5).

Claims 28-30 recite method steps are inherently performed during the making of product claims 25-27.

Claims 18-19 recite method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 15 and 16.

6. Claims 17, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883) in view of Pope et al (U.S. 6,135,781) as applied above in claim 15 and further in view of Volz et al. (U.S. 5,353,191).

As to claim 17, Dell et al and Pope et al do not teach the housing (connector) comprising fins to promote conduction of heat away from the circuit board. Volz et al teaches a housing (10, Fig. 1) comprising fins (20) to promote conduction of heat away from the circuit board (see col 3, line 60 - col. 4, line 16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the housing of Dell et al and Pope et al by including fins as taught by Volz et al for the purpose of providing good heat dissipation from circuit board.

Art Unit: 2841

Claims 21, 22, and 24 recite method steps are inherently performed during the making of product claims 15-17.

Claim 20 recites method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claims 17.

Claim 23 recites a specific range of ¼ and 3/8 inches of each of the clearances. The same reasoning applies to claim 23, as discussed above in claim 4 regarding the optimum value.

7. Claims 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwer et al (U.S. 5,440,755) in view of Ma (U.S. 6,210,195) as applied above in claim 6, and further in view of Dell et al. (U.S. 6,097,883).

As to claim 8, Harwer and Ma are silent teaching that mechanism comprises at least one of a spring located entirely inside the connector housing and a plastic latch internal to the connector housing. Dell et al discloses a circuit board (Fig. 8, element 10) wherein the mechanism (52) comprises at least one of a spring (58) located entirely inside the connector housing and a plastic latch internal to the connector housing (see col. 6, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plastic socket comprising at least one of a spring, as taught by Dell et al, in the prior art of Harwer and Ma for holding the substrate within the slot connector housing assembly securely.

Claim 13 recites method steps which are inherently performed during the making of product claim 8.

Art Unit: 2841

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

With respect to claims 1-5, Applicant argues that Harwer et al fails to teach the first set of at least three uniformly spaced contacts to communicate power. However, Woychik teaches a substrate (Fig. 8) comprising a first set (152) of contacts to communicate power (see col. 8, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art of Harwer by including the contacts which are communicated to the power line as taught by Woychik for providing voltage to the circuitry of the substrate in order to perform electrical functions.

With respect to claims 15-20, Applicant argues that there is no reference teaching a material having a thermal conductivity of at least approximately 0.27 W/m.K. The Examiner disagrees, since using a known material having a specific optimum value would been an obvious matter of design choice and it has not given any patentable weight. The use of any known material produces no new matter. Thus, claims 15-20 are still rejected under the prior art of Dell et al, Pope et al and Ma.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin, can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TYT

DAVID MARTIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800